



# UNITED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

08/579,739
APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/579,739

12/28/95

SAKAEGI

/ 35.011122

005514

LMC1/0609

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO

30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112 EXAMINER

VU, N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2712

19

DATE MAILED:

06/09/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/579,739

in Sin(S)

SAKAEGI

Examiner

Ngoc-Yen VU

Group Art Unit 2712



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 14, 2000
This action is FINAL.
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).
Disposition of Claim
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s) is/are allowed.
X) Claim(s) 1-21 js/are rejected.
Claim(s)is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
received.
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 33 0.3.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892     Information Displaceurs Statement(s), PTO 1449, Paper No(s).
<ul><li>☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li></ul>
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES



Art Unit: 2712

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendments, filed on 3/14/2000, have been entered and made of record.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to the independent claims 1 and 7, the Applicant argues that in Hicks, power is supplied to the power saver 17 through power source code 4 which is separated from data line 13 connected to the personal computer. The Applicant further argues that nothing in Hicks to teach or suggest that the discriminating means that detect the voltage level of the signal/data line connected to the personal computer is powered by the personal computer via the same signal/data line. In response to the Applicant's arguments, the Examiner submits that Hicks does teach the discriminating means (microprocessor 39/49 & button 35) detects the voltage level of the signal/data line (13) connected to the personal computer (1) (see col. 3 lines 38-55; col. 5 lines 49-65). With respect to the claim limitation that said discriminating means (39/49) is powered by the personal computer (1) via the signal line (13), the Examiner did take Official Notice that it is well known in the art that electronic apparatus such as the personal computer (1) or the peripheral apparatus (17) as shown in Hicks has their own power source which could be either a power cord or a battery. In respond to the Applicant's arguments, in this Office Action the Examiner will introduce a prefious cited reference to support the Official Notice.



Art Unit: 2712

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hicks (US #5,594,672) in view of Aoki (US #5,438,359).

Regarding claims 1-2, Hicks discloses a system that includes a power saving feature. As seen in figure 1, the system includes a peripheral apparatus (power saver 17), a predetermined circuit (printer 2), and a personal computer (1). As can be seen in figure 1 and described in the corresponding parts of the specification, the power saver detects the voltage level of a signal line (13) connected to the computer (1) (see col. 3 lines 38-55; col. 5 lines 49-65). Based on this determination, power is supplied to the printer (2) via power cord (3). Hicks further teaches discriminating means (microprocessor 39/49 and button 35) for discriminating whether or not a communication request of a predetermined procedure has been received from the personal computer (1) after the electric power of the power source was supplied to the printer (2) by said power saver (17) (col. 1, line 60 to col. 2 line 27; col. 3 line 38 to col. 5 line 65), wherein said microprocessor 39/49 detects the voltage level of the signal line connected to the personal computer (col. 5 lines 49-65); and control means (39/49) for continuing the supply of the electric power from the power saver (17) once said discriminating means discriminates a presence of the communication request (col. 1 lines 60+, and in col. 4 lines 35+.) Claim 1 differs from Hicks in



Art Unit: 2712

that the claim further requires that said discriminating means is powered by the personal computer (1) via the signal line. However, Hicks does show that the peripheral apparatus (17) has its own power cord (4). As evidenced by Aoki, it is well known in the art that electronic apparatus such as the personal computer (1) or the peripheral apparatus (17) shown in Hicks has its own power source which could be either a power cord or a battery.

In the same field of endeavor, Aoki teaches an electronic camera (1) which can be connected to a computer apparatus (2) (see Fig. 1). Aoki further teaches that the electronic camera (1) has a battery (16) and a power circuit (119) (see Fig. 3). In column 4, lines 3-16, Aoki teaches that the power circuit (119) can be supplied with the power from the power source (25) of the personal computer (2), or the power circuit (119) can be driven by a power supplied from the battery (16). Aoki also teaches in column 4, lines 16-20, that when the camera (1) is connected to the personal computer (2), the power supply to the power circuit (119) from the battery (16) is automatically switched to the power supply from the power source (25) of the personal computer (2). In light of the teaching from Aoki, it would have been obvious to have the power source from the computer (1) power the discriminating means of the peripheral device (17) shown in Hicks so that the peripheral device (17) need not be tethered to a wall outlet.

As to claim 3, Hicks shows in figure 1, the power supply cord (3) and the data signal line (13) are separate.

As to claims 4-5, Hicks specifically shows in figure 1 that the peripheral apparatus can be a printer (2). As discussed in col. 1 lines 25+ and col. 5 line 1+, peripheral devices other than





Art Unit: 2712

printers can also be used with computers. Throughout the specification, Hicks uses the generic term "peripheral device" implying that any known type of peripheral device could be used with the power-saving system, not only a printer. Aoki discloses that it is known in the art to also use a camera as a peripheral device to a personal computer (col. 1, lines 13+.) This allows a user to transmit image data to and receive image data from the computer from the camera allowing greater processing capability as well as the opportunity to store a large number of images (col. 1 line 23 - col. 2 line 30.) Since Hicks specifically discloses that computers may be used with different types of peripheral devices, and Aoki discloses that it is advantageous to use cameras along with computers, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a camera as the peripheral device in the power saving system disclosed by Hicks.

As to claim 6, Hicks shows that the peripheral apparatus has its own power cord (4).

Official Notice is taken that the personal computer has its own power source which could be either a power cord or a battery. It would have been obvious to have the power source from the computer power the detecting means of the peripheral device so that the peripheral device need not be tethered to a wall outlet.

Regarding claim 7, it is considered essentially similar to the combination of claims 1 and 4 which were discussed above. See the above description of how Hicks applies to the limitations. Likewise, Hicks further teaches that the supply of electric power to peripheral device (2) is stopped in the case that the predetermined command is not discriminated by said discriminating means (col. 1, lines 60+).

Page 6



Application/Control Number: 08/579,739

Art Unit: 2712

Aoki discloses that a camera may be used as a peripheral device which may be connected to a computer (col. 1 line 8 to col. 2 line 30.) For the same reasons discussed above, it would have been obvious to use a camera as the peripheral device in the power-saving system disclosed by Hicks. Aoki specifically states that the camera may be used as a stand-alone device while not connected to the computer (col. 1 line 45+.) As shown in figure 3, Aoki show a camera including a recording means (3) and a buffer (115).

As to claims 8-9, they are considered substantively equivalent to claims 2-3 which were discussed above.

As to claim 10, Hicks, as modified by Aoki, discloses that the recording means has a buffer (32) for storing the photographed image information (Aoki, Figs. 3-5).

As to claim 11, it is considered substantively equivalent to claims 6 which was discussed above.

Regarding claims 12-15 and 18-19, they are considered substantively equivalent to claims 1-2 which were discussed above.

As to claims 16 and 20, it is considered substantively equivalent to claim 4 which was discussed above.

As to claims 17 and 21, Aoki shows an image pickup means (Fig. 3, CCD 14).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 08/579,739

Art Unit: 2712

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

#### Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")





Art Unit: 2712

Or:

(703) 308-5359 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **N. Vu** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

NYV -6/1/2000 -

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2700